

Sibeck
Ukhorskiy
Takahashi
Fox
Wygant
Spence
Kletzing
Giles
Rowland
Li
Hoffman

Doug described strategy

Paul goes through his presentation

certain number of events / year with a fixed duration (1 day/event, 1 event /month)

excel spreadsheet tracks regions

no tracking of two vehicles

set of parameters define critical region

another spreadsheet describes orbital elements for two-probe

trace orbit

B,L tracing for L and MLT

where did L, MLT, Mlat ranges come from?

question from Sasha:

L shell seems very low for EMIC, magnetosonic

Paul: Definitions need more work and refinement

Wygant: need to add rows, e.g. substorm injections

Geoff: "Midnight sources" includes substorm injections, ring current injections, etc.

Wygant: don't decide based on how many rows are satisfied by an orbit

Paul: this defines a trade space

Wygant: include some text that states that number of rows is not a criterion.

Reeves: Each row should be treated independently, to show how changing orbit affects that particular row and likelihood of observing that type of event during the mission.

Obrien: Percentage represents time that either vehicle is in the given box.

Orbits defined co-orbital with 0.02 (120 km) apogee difference

probability of observing at least two events by at least one spacecraft each, during the two year mission

Kletzing: Do you do ensembles of 2-year missions, to account for the random distribution of events

Obrien: calculates how long is it between visits to this box - how likely are we to be outside the box for a whole day

Necessary for programmatic reasons / funding reasons to do final analysis at APL

Linkage between Obrien and flowdown - takes into account 2-vehicle, revisit times

Xinlin: region definition focused on VLF waves. ULF waves not included.

Sasha: ULF waves should be included as they may strongly drive the orbit (largest near magnetopause, driving apogee)

Obrien: what about angle between line of nodes and line of apsides

Obrien: I have code that calls ONERA open-source library to do orbit tracing, B/L tracing, calculation of invariants (supports wide range of magnetic field models). Should I contribute these to APL?

Geoff: Look at ability of these to fill out the top couple of objectives.

Action items:

Reeves define rows in OBrien table

Sasha, Xinlin flesh out Approach 1.1, 1.2

Wygant approach 1.4

Rowland and Sibeck 1.3